

**CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP**

August 16, 2005

TO: Commissioner for Patents  
FAX NUMBER: 571-273-8300  
SEND ONLY TO THE ABOVE FAX NUMBER:

CONFIRMATION  
PHONE NUMBER:

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
AUG 16 2005

FROM: Warren M. Haines II  
DIRECT DIAL PHONE NUMBER: 216-622-8477

CLIENT NO.: 29381

MATTER NO.: 04000

CLIENT NAME: Composix

NUMBER OF PAGES (Including this page): 5**MESSAGE:**

Appl. No. : 10/801,795  
Applicant : Dickson  
Filed : 3/16/2004  
Title : FORMED METAL ARMOR ASSEMBLY  
TC/A.U. : 3641  
Examiner : Stephen Johnson  
Docket No. : 29381-04000  
Customer No. : 24024

Confirmation No. 1927

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL**

I hereby certify that this document is being transmitted  
via Facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents,  
Fax No. (571) 273-8300  
on this 16th day of August, 2005.

Signed: Joyce Ford

This facsimile transmission contains confidential and/or legally privileged information from the law firm of Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP intended only for the use of the individual(s) named on the transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the documents to us at no cost to you.

If there is a problem with this transmission, please contact the Fax Department at: 216/622-8426, 216/622-8427, or 216/622-8428. Facsimiles can be received 24 hours per day, 7 days each week at 216/241-0816. Thank you.

Fax Operator's Initials:

Sent By: [Signature]  
Time: 3:58Logged By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Time: \_\_\_\_\_1400 McDonald Investment Center • 800 Superior Avenue • Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2688 • 216/622-8200  
Cleveland Fax Number • 216/241-08161100 Fifth Third Center • 21 East State Street • Columbus, Ohio 43215-4243 • 614/621-1500  
Columbus Fax Number • 614/621-0010

PTO/SB/21 (05-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0851-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b> (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/801,795	
	Filing Date	3/16/2004	
	First Named Inventor	Dickson	
	Art Unit	3641	
	Examiner Name	Stephen Johnson	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	4	Attorney Docket Number	29381/04000

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Remarks Response to Restriction Requirement		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT		Customer Number
Firm or Individual name	Warren M. Halnes II (40,632)	24024
Signature	<i>Warren M. Halnes II</i>	
Date	8/16/05	

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.		
Typed or printed name	Joyce Ford	Date
Signature	<i>Joyce Ford</i>	08/16/05

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Customer Number

24024

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE****PATENT**

Appl. No. : 10/801,795 Confirmation No. 1927  
Applicant : Dickson  
Filed : 3/16/2004  
Title : **FORMED METAL ARMOR ASSEMBLY**  
TC/A.U. : 3641  
Examiner : Stephen Johnson  
Docket No. : 29381-04000  
Customer No. : 24024

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**  
**AUG 16 2005**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of July 25, 2005, Applicant elects the claims of Species A, directed to the metallic facing element (illustrated in Figs. 1-3) in combination with a backing structure. The claims that read on the elected species include claims 1-8, 11-23, and 25-27. Applicant notes that at least claims 1, 18, and 22 are generic. This election is with traverse.

The Office Action asserts that:

Species A is directed to the metallic facing element (illustrated in Figs. 1-3) in combination with a backing structure;

Appl. No. 10/801,795

Response Dated August 16, 2005

Reply to Office action of July 25, 2005

Species B is directed to the metallic facing element (illustrated in Fig. 4) in combination with a backing structure;

Species C is directed to the metallic facing element (illustrated in Fig. 5) in combination with a backing structure;

Species A' is directed to the metallic facing element (illustrated in Figs. 1-3) in combination with a backing structure, an adhesive layer, and a protective outer cover;

Species B' is directed to the metallic facing element (illustrated in Fig. 4) in combination with a backing structure, an adhesive layer, and a protective outer cover; and

Species C' is directed to the metallic facing element (illustrated in Fig. 5) in combination with a backing structure, an adhesive layer, and a protective outer cover.

Applicant submits that restriction is not proper in this instance. M.P.E.P. § 803 states the requirement for a proper restriction.

There are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction between patentably distinct inventions: (A) The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and (B) There must be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is required.

(M.P.E.P. § 803, citations omitted, emphasis added.) Thus, there are two requirements for restriction: distinctness and a serious burden. Both are required; distinctness without a serious burden is not sufficient to justify restriction. Indeed, section 803 explicitly states that "[i]f the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions."

Applicant respectfully submits that restriction is not proper in this case. Consideration of the claims of the identified species would not result in a serious burden on the Office. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the Office consider all of the identified Species (i.e., claims 1-27) together.


Appl. No. 10/801,795  
Response Dated August 16, 2005  
Reply to Office action of July 25, 2005

At this time, Applicant believes that no additional fees are due. However, the United States Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 03-0172.

Respectfully submitted,

CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP

Date: 8/16/05

  
Warren M. Haines II  
Reg. No. 40,632  
Customer No. 24024  
(216) 622-8477

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

I hereby certify that this document is being transmitted  
via Facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents,  
Fax No. (571) 273-8300  
on this 16th day of August, 2005.

Signed: Joyce Ford